1	
2	
3	
4	
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	UNIRAM TECHNOLOGY, INC., No. C 04-1268 VRW (MEJ)
9	Plaintiff(s),
10	vs. ORDER RE TSMC'S INTERROGATORY NO. 12
11	MONOLITHIC SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY, INC, et al.,
12	
13	Defendant(s).
14	
15	The Court is in receipt of the parties' joint discovery dispute letter, dated September 25,
16	2006. (Doc. #261.) In the letter, TSMC requests an order compelling UniRAM to comply with
17	Court's earlier order compelling a full response to its Interrogatory No. 12. (Doc. #155.) Howe
18	as Judge Walker ordered UniRAM to pare down its list of trade secrets from 212 to 12 by Octob
19	20, 2006 (Doc. #108), the Court finds it unnecessary to consider TSMC's request at this time

the ever, ber 2006 (Doc. #198), the Court finds it unnecessary to consider TSMC's request at this time. Rather, at the time UniRAM narrows its trade secret list, it shall also ensure that it has complied with the Court's previous orders. If a dispute remains after October 20, the parties shall again meet and confer on this issue and thereafter file a joint letter if unable to resolve their dispute.

MARIA ELENA JAMES United States Magistrate Judge

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

24

20

21

22

23

25 Dated: October 2, 2006

27

26

28